

Fis

Moving VistA Forward – a Modest Proposal K.S. Bhaskar Development Director, FIS ks.bhaskar@fisglobal.com +1 (610) 578-4265 Major Take-Away



• Horizontal vs. Vertical Re-engineering



Challenges Faced By A MUMPS Application



- Expert friendly
 - Dated coding style
 - Arcane rules, sometimes violated, exceptions not well documented
 - Human interaction not always well separated from business logic
 - User interface technology changes faster than business logic
- Other management concerns
 - Ability to staff
 - New programmers not always willing to invest time & energy in learning
- Relentless promotion by big-brand RDBMS vendors
 - Long-term viability of M technology questioned

Challenges Faced By A MUMPS Application



- Expert friendly
 - Dated coding style
 - Arcane rules, sometimes violated, exceptions not well documented
 - Human interaction not always well separated from business logic
 - User interface technology changes faster than business logic

Other management concerns

- Ability to staff
 - New programmers not always willing to invest time & energy in learning
- Relentless promotion by big-brand RDBMS vendors
 - Long-term viability of M technology questioned

And I'm not talking about VistA!!!

FIS Profile in the mid 1990s



- Similar concerns to those that apply to VistA today
 - Terminal based primary user interface mostly screens & menus
 - Thick client written in PowerBuilder for most common users
 - No thin client interface & web was just taking off
 - Thousands of routines of hand-coded M
 - Mostly well written but not always
 - Well-defined schema by-passed occasionally
 - Without transaction fences, application Consistency not assured after a crash
 - N COUNTER
 - I '\$G(DATE) S DATE=\$S(\$G(TJD):\$D(TJD),\$G(^CUVAR(2)):1)
 - I '\$G(NBD) S NBD=1
 - I \$G(CAL)="" S CAL="IBS"
 - S COUNTER=NBD
 - F D Q: 'COUNTER
 - . S DATE=DATE-1
 - . I \$\$BD(DATE,CAL) S COUNTER=COUNTER-1

Q DATE

FIS Profile today



- Runs three largest real-time core banking systems in the world
 - (If you know of any bigger, please do let me know)
- Stateless server processes receive and respond to messages
 - Service requests are TCP/IP messages ("transfer \$100 from checking to savings"), independent of UI technology
 - New UI technologies can be added with little to no change to servers
 - Each request processed as an ACID transaction
 - Database recovered after crash guaranteed to be application Consistent
 - Maintaining Consistency with Logical Multi-Site application deployments for business continuity are easier if all updates are wrapped in transactions
 - M Locks no longer needed
 - (Transactions work so well, they are even used for "batch" processing)
 - SQL/JDBC access to application
 - Deployable on big-brand RDBMS
 - No technical obstacle preventing Profile from running on Java or other languages (will only require retargeting code generator of PSL compiler)

Profile Architecture



Persistence	Database/ Schema / Metadata							
Data Access	Triggers & Database Procedures							
Application	Classes/Methods/Procedures							
Services	Profile	TSSP	RPC	SQI				
Process Execution & Control	Interface	Profile Appli	cation Serv	ers				
Messaging	Message Transport & Queue Management							
			$\widehat{1}$		Ŷ			
Interfaces	SOAP		MQ		JDBC			

Profile Scripting Language (PSL)



type String COUNTER

// Type checking done by compiler

if 'DATE.get() set DATE = %SystemDate
if 'NBD.get() set NBD = 1
if CAL get() = "" set CAL = "IBS"

if 'DATE.get() set DATE = %SystemDate // Object.method notation enforces schema

// and allows compiler to generate code

if CAL.get() = "" set CAL = "IBS" // for multiple targets

```
set COUNTER=NBD
```

```
for do { quit:'COUNTER // Block structure and flexible whitespacing
  set DATE = DATE-1 // can make code easier to read & maintain
  if $$BD(DATE,CAL) set COUNTER = COUNTER-1
  }
```

return DATE // DNA shared with M



Profile IDE





Profile Ad Hoc SQL Reporting

FIS



C S Intp://vlstratdem2012.atldev.com/Wel	bAdmin-v73xesc $\mathcal{P} \star \mathcal{O}$ Demo Bank WebAdmin - R ×	
Profile WebAdmin		04/29/2013 Log Out
 Security Configuration Vendor Management 	Report Modify	
 Product Factory Table Configuration 	* Description: Customer Listing Over 35 Limit Rows: Rows: 100	
 General Ledger Utilities Reporting WebSQL Reports Profile Reports Report Archive 	1 SELECT 2 CIF.NAM, 3 CIF.AGE, 4 CIF.TCUSTBAL, 5 CIF.STAT 6 FROM 7 CIF 8 WHERE	
> Tools	* WebSQL: 9 CIF.AGE > 35 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
<		>
🚱 🔉 🏉 📜 🥹		∽ 😼 û 🛱 🔥 3:49 PM 4/29/2013

Access from DBVisualizer



<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> atabase	<u>SQL Bookmarks Tools</u>	<u>W</u> ind	ow <u>H</u> elp							
20 8 6	🗍 🔍 Object View 📄 🕨 SQ	L Comr	nander							
Actions										
🕴 荶 👗 PROFILESCHEMA	A Deins and March	1	Phastana T	Country .	Devu la					
∲- 🛄 TABLE	Primary Key		Calumna			References				
			Columns		(a	🐼 Row Count				
	2 🕹 😂 🍇									
	Name				Value					
- CTBLINST	TABLE_CAT		(null)							
	TABLE_SCHEM		PROFILESCHEM	4						
CTBLSVTYP	TABLE_NAME		ACN							
CTBLUDFN	TABLE_TYPE		TABLE							
CTBLUTBLF	REMARKS		Account file							
CUVAR	TYPE_CAT		(null)							
	TYPE_SCHEM		(null)							
	TYPE_NAME	TYPE_NAME								
	SELF_REFERENCING_COL	SELF_REFERENCING_COL_NAME								
	REF_GENERATION		(null)							
DBBUF										
	r									
	* * *									
- DBCTLCRFMT	* * *									
	· · · ·									
	*									
BCTLQFUN										
BCTLQRY										
DBCTLRFMT										
DBCTLRFMTC										

FIS

How did Profile get here from there?



• No magic... just innumerable cycles of recoding / rearchitecting



- Revolution through evolution
 - Changes over a half dozen major versions over as many years
 - Pick your pithy saying...
 - Incremental changes are safer than big bangs
 - Many small steps can make a big journey
 - Patience is not the same as paralysis
 - Eat the elephant one bite at a time
 - Spread the cost over several budget years
 - No "none of it is done till it's all done" malady
 - Confidence in code comes from using it
 - You can wait forever for the perfect solution
 - The longest journey is the one that is never begun





- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
 - Encapsulation creates logic that resists change
 - No knowledgeable programmers, changes perceived as high risk
 - Unchanging code leads to ossification and fossilization
 - And in turn, premature application obsolescence



- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
- Choose a language and compile it into M
 - Choice of language not important as long as it's "good enough"
 - We created PSL (now free / open source software http://fis-pip.com)
 - JavaScript, PHP, Python, and Ruby will all probably work
 - Java and .Net have fans and detractors
 - Proprietary languages don't match free / open source ethos of VistA
 - Choose a well defined subset
 - Every language has its idiosyncrasies and this is not a good time to explore them
 - Importance of compiling into M cannot be emphasized enough
 - Granularity of change is the line, not the module
 - New code and old code can share local variables and other context
 - Code dynamically generated from templates in the database continues to work
 - Facilitates some conversion automation see a pattern and convert it everywhere
 - Enforce coding discipline with new code, e.g., direct global accesses
 - Mandatory for application deployment on non-M databases



- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
- Choose a language and compile it into M
- Re-architect as you go
 - Some changes may be "lumpy" requiring all of the application to do something the new way, e.g., generating code for an RDBMS target required zero direct M global access and 100% access through schema layer
 - Other changes are not, e.g., use of transaction processing



- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
- Choose a language and compile it into M
- Re-architect as you go
- Integration beats Balkanization
 - It's tempting and sometimes expedient to replace pieces with "best of breed" applications
 - Breaking off pieces of core business logic converts an integrated patient/customer-centric architecture into a stovepipe architecture – see http://sourcemaking.com/antipatterns/software-architecture-antipatterns
 - That said, sometimes slicing off functionality is the right thing to do, e.g., UI





- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
- Choose a language and compile it into M
- Re-architect as you go
- Integration beats Balkanization
- The value of M technology is as an execution engine
 - Leverage M for what it does well compact & efficient M code scales up to the needs of the largest enterprises
 - "Indistinguishable from line noise" doesn't matter for code generated by a compiler
 - M does not need to be the next "cool" programming language
 - Establishing value is the key to ongoing investment
 - Compiling PSL to run Profile on a big-brand RDBMS and generating Java from PSL established the importance of GT.M in a way that no amount of white papers or slide decks ever could have done





- Revolution through evolution
- Avoid encapsulation
- Choose a language and compile it into M
- Re-architect as you go
- Integration beats Balkanization
- The value of M technology is as an execution engine

Horizontal vs. Vertical Re-engineering!!!



Links



- FIS GT.M: http://fis-gtm.com
- FIS Profile: http://fis-profile.com
- FIS PIP: http://fis-pip.com
- Anti-patterns: http://sourcemaking.com/antipatterns/software-architecture-antipatterns
- K.S. Bhaskar / ks.bhaskar@fisglobal.com / +1 (610) 578-4265